



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	ATENT COOPER	ATION TRE	ATY PCT/JP2003/00430					
latio	PC	\mathbf{T}	((REIGH BULES) IN SEINE SING SEINE SING SEINE SEINE					
ans internal	PCT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)							
•								
Applicant's or agent's file reference G102027WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)							
International application No. PCT/JP2003/004308	International filing date 03 April 2003 (Priority date (day/month/year) 28 June 2002 (28.06.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) of C07C 313/08, C07B 59/00, G	or national classification and 01N 33/68, 27/62, A61K	PC 38/00						
Applicant	SHIMADZU CO	RPORATION						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of								
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completio						
12 September 2003 (12.09.2003)	1	14 May 2004 (14.05.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/JP	Authorized office	r					
. Facsimile No.		Telephone No.						



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/004308

I. Basis of the report								
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*						
	\boxtimes	the inte	ternational application as originally filed					
		the desc	escription:	i				
		pages	, as or	iginally filed				
		pages		h the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	\Box	the clai	aims:					
		pages	·	iginally filed				
		pages						
		pages						
		pages						
	П	the drav	awings:					
İ		pages		riginally filed				
		pages						
		pages						
	\Box	the seque	nence listing part of the description:					
		pages		riginally filed				
ļ		pages		h the demand				
		pages						
2.	the ir	nternation e element the lang the lang	to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language on a polication was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. In the following language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). In guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	which is:				
•	TT // 1	or 55.3						
3.	preli	minary ex	I to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	international				
	H		ined in the international application in written form.	!				
	H		together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	H		thed subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	H		thed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	Ш		statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclutional application as filed has been furnished.	osure in the				
			statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence furnished.	ce listing has				
4.		The am	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description, pages					
			the claims, Nos.					
			the drawings, sheets/fig					
5.		This rep	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been cond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	sidered to go				
*	in th	acement s is report 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a rt as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments	re referred to (Rule 70.16				
**		-	nent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item l and annexed to this report.	į				

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims	10-45	YES
Claims	1-9	NO
Claims	10, 20, 32	YES
Claims	1-9, 11-19, 21-31, 33-45	NO
Claims	1-45	YES
Claims		NO
Claims		
	Claims Claims Claims Claims	Claims 1-9 Claims 10, 20, 32 Claims 1-9, 11-19, 21-31, 33-45 Claims 1-45

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1994, Vol. 59, No. 12, pages 3294-3300

Document 2: Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1991, Vol. 56, No. 23, pages 6638-6643

Document 3: Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 1986, Vol. 59, No. 10, pages 3291-3292

Document 4: Chemische Berichte, 1982, Vol. 115, No. 12, pages 3719-3736

Document 5: Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1979, Vol. 44, No. 23, pages 4135-4140

Document 6: Spectrochimica Acta, Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 1995, Vol. 51A, No. 14, pages 2447-2451

Document 7: Journal of Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals, 1983, Vol. 20, No. 11, pages 1243-

Document 8: WO, 00-11208, A1 (University of Washington), 2 March, 2000 (02.03.00)

Document 9: "Proteins IV: Correlation between Structures and Functions (in Japanese)," The Japan

Biochemical Society, Tokyo Kagaku Dozin Co., Ltd., 1991, No. 57-58, pages 64-66

Claims 1-9

The subject matters of these claims do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-7 cited in the ISR. Documents 1-7 respectively describe a sulfenyl halide composed of organic groups having a constituent element labeled with an isotope.

Claims 1-9, 11-19, 21-31 and 33-45

The subject matters of these claims do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-9 Cited in the ISR.

Document 8 describes a method for analyzing a protein comprising the steps of (1) labeling the protein using a reagent labeled with an isotope and (2) analyzing it by means of mass spectrometry, and document 9 describes an aryl sulfenyl chloride as a specific modifier of the tryptophan residue of a protein. So, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of analyzing a peptide using the isotope-labeled aryl sulfenyl chloride described in documents 1-7 as a labeling reagent.

Claims 10, 20 and 32

The subject matters of these claims appear to be novel and to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-9 cited in the ISR.

The subject matters of claims 10, 20 and 32 are neither described in documents 1-9 nor could have been easily conceived of from the descriptions of documents 1-9.